THE EFFECT OF LONG-TERM CROP ROTATION ON THE AMOUNT OF WEED SEEDS IN THE SOIL

Lina Skinulienė¹, Vaclovas Bogužas¹, Aušra Sinkevičienė¹, Vaida Steponavičienė¹, Rimantas Martusevičius¹

¹ Vytautas Magnus University, Agriculture Academy, Studentu str. 11, LT-53361 Akademija, Kaunas distr., Lithuania E-mail: lina.skinuliene@vdu.lt

INTRODUCTION

The spread of characteristic weeds is one of the main factors limiting crop productivity and soil productivity in crop rotations. The similar chemical composition of weeds and agricultural crops leads to interspecies competition in agrocenoses - for nutrients, moisture, heat and light.

The objective of this investigations was to compare the effect of different crop rotations and *Rye Monocultures* as well as fallow on the amount of weed seeds.

Keywords: crop rotation, monoculture, weeds, countiniuous bare fallow

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Long-term field experiment was established in 1965 at the Experimental Station of Vytautas Magnus University at 54°52′50 N latitude and 23°49′41 E longitude. 9 different crop rotations were arranged in time and space. In addition *Rye Monoculture* and *Continuous bare fallow* were included as control treatment. Soil – *Calc(ar)i-Endohypogleyic Luvisol*.

Investigations were carried out in 2018 (after 53 year term) (Table 1).

Table 1. Sequences of crop rotations

Crop	Components of crop rotation
rotation	
Cereal	1) vetch and oats mixture for green forage, 2) spring wheat , 3) oats,
Cereui	4) spring barley.
Three-course	1) black fallow, 2) spring rye, 3) oats.
Rye	1) spring rye.
Monoculture	1) spring ryc.
Monoculuic	
Field with	1) spring wheat + undersowing, 2) perennial grasses of the 1 st
row crops	harvest year, 3) perennial grasses of the 2 nd harvest year, 4) winter
	rye, 5) sugar beet, 6) spring barley, 7) oats, 8) black fallow.
Fodder	1) perennial grasses of the 1 st harvest year, 2) perennial grasses of the
	2 nd harvest year, 3) perennial grasses of the 3 rd harvest year, 4)
	perennial grasses of the 4 th harvest year, 5) flax, 6) maize, 7) fodder
	beet, 8) spring barley + undersowing.
Norfolk	1) clover, 2) spring wheat, 3) potato, 4) spring barley.
For green	1) lupine for green manure, 2) winter rye, 3) winter rape for green
manure	manure, 4) spring rye, 6) potatoes, 7) spring barley.
Intensive	1) vetch and oats mixture for green forage + undersowing, 2) clover,
	3) spring rye followed by catch crop – winter rape, 4) potato followed
	by catch crop – winter rye for green forage, 5) maize, 6) spring barley
	followed by catch crop – oilseed radish.

RESULTS

Table 2. The amount and composition of weed seeds in soil, in spring wheat crop (a), spring barley (b) and spring rye (c) at depth 0-25 cm

	Crop rotations					
The species composition of weeds				T		
(thousands vnt m ⁻²)	Cereal	Field with row crops	Continiuos bare fallow	Norfolk		
Annual						
Chenopodium album L.	27,32 a	29,06 a	19,38 a	27,51 a		
Persicarija lapathifolia L.	0,00 a	0,58 a	0,39 a	0,00 a		
Persicaria maculosa L.	0,00a	1,16 a	0,00 a	0,39 a		
Echinochloa crus-galli L	0,58 a	0,00 a	2,71 a	0,78 a		
Perennial						
Rumex crispus L.	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,39 a	0,00 a		
Total	27,90 a	30,80 a	22,87 a	28,68 a		

	Crop rotations					
The species composition of weeds (thousands vnt m ⁻²)	Intensive	Cereal	Field with raw crops	Norfolk	For green manure (after winter rape incorporated)	Fodder
Annual						
Chenopodium album L.	25,58 a	26,35 a	18,99 a	14,73 a	20,34 a	14,34
Persicarija lapathifolia L.	0,78 a	0,78 a	0,00 a	0,39 a	1,74 a	1,16 a
Persicaria maculosa L.	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	1,55 a
Echinochloa crus-galli L.	8,14 a	0,00 a	1,16 a	3,10 a	1,74 a	2,71 a
Fallopia convolvulus L.	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,58 a	0,00 a
Galeopsis tetrahit L.	0,00 a	0,78 b	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a
Thlapsi arvense L.	0,38 a	0,00 a	0,38 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a
Perennial						
Rumex crispus L.	0,00 a	0,38 a	0,00 a	1,16 a	0,00 a	0,00 a
Total	34,88 a	28,29 a	20,53 a	19,38 a	24,40 a	19,76

	Crop rotations							
The species composition of weeds (thousands vnt m ⁻²)	Field with row crops	For green manure (after winter rape incorporation)	Three	Intensive	Rye monoculture			
Annual								
Chenopodium album L	14,31 ab	19,88 ab	20,34 ab	26,73 b	11,63 a			
Persicarija lapathifolia L	0,58 a	1,74 a	0,58 a	0,00 a	0,00 a			
Persicaria maculosa L.	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,39 a	0,00 a			
Echinochloa crus-galli L.	1,74 ab	4,07 b	0,58 a	0,39 a	1,55 ab			
Sinapis arvensis L.	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a	0,00 a			
Galeopsis tetrahit L.	0,00 a	0,00 a	1,16 b	0,00 a	0,00 a			
Total	16,63 a	25,69 a	22,66 a	27,51 a	13,18 a			

Notes. ^{a-d} Means followed by the same letter for the same measurement did not differ significantly (P<0.05).

CONCLUSIONS

- Seeds of frost-blite (Chenopodium album L.), pale persicaria (Polygonum lapathifolia L.), cockspur (Echinochloa crus-galli L.) and lady's thumb (Persicaria maculosa L.) dominated in different crop rotations, monocultures and continuous bare fallow.
- Different crop rotations and *Continuous bare fallow* did not have significant differences on amount of weed seeds. A higher (1.35 and 1.10 times) amount of weed seeds was established in the *Field with raw crops* comparing with other crop rotations in spring wheat crops and continuous bare fallow. A lower amount of weed seeds was established in *Norfolk* and *Fodder* crop rotations (1.80 and 1.46 times) comparing with *Intensive* and *Cereal* crop rotations in spring barley crops. The higher (2.09 times) amount of weed seeds was established in *Intensive* crop rotation comaring with *Rye monoculture*. Pre-crop of rye in *Intensive* crop rotation was clover making negative influence on amount of weed seeds in the soil.