

INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM FOR AGRICULTURE AND BIOSYSTEM ENGINEERING RELATED HEI OF ALGERIA (QUALS) 598176-EPP-1-2018-1-LT-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP

QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT



Page - **1** - of

- 1 -

ERASMUS+ 598176-EPP-1-2018-1-LT-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP INTERNAL QUALITY FOR AGRICULTURE AND BIOSYSTEM ENGINEERING RELATED HEI OF ALGERIA (QUALS)



factsheet

Project Number:	598176-EPP-1-2018-1-LT-EPPKA2-CBHE-JP
Project Acronym:	QUALS
Project Title:	INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE SYSTEM FOR AGRICULTURE AND BIOSYSTEM ENGINEERING RELATED HEI OF ALGERIA (QUALS)
Title of Deliverable:	Quality Assurance Report
Due date according to contract:	
Editor(s): Antanas Maziliauskas, Raimundas Rukuiža, Danutė Maziliauskien Konstantinas Kurževa	ė
Contributor(s):	EMU ENSA ENSH ENSB
Reviewer(s):Izabela Savickienė	
Approved by:	All Partners
Abstract:	This report outlines the Quality Assurance plan implementation for the overall duration of the project. More specifically, the report summarises the actions implemented to accomplish it.
Keyword List:	Quality, outputs, milestones, assurance



Page - **2** - of

- 2 -



Contents

FACTSHEET	- 2 -
CONTENTS	
I.MONITORING CHECK: AIMS AND OBJECTIVES	3
2.MONITORING CHECK: SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT	3
3.MONITORING CHECK: MILESTONES AND INDICATORS	5
4.QUALITY MONITORING CHECK: BENCHMARKS AND OUTCOMES	7
5.QUALITY MONITORING CHECKS: BUDGET AND COST EFFECTIVENESS	10
6.REPORT ON MANAGEMENT OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND MONITORING	12
7. QUALITY CONTROL AND ASSURANCE PROBLEM REPORTING 7.1.Quality Control Log 7.2.Quality Assurance Log	14 14 15





I.MONITORING CHECK: AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The Vytautas Magnus University (project coordinator), was responsible for monitoring the project compliance with the aims and objectives of the project during whole period of implementation. Project followed the aims and objectives and did not have major changes in this respect.

2.MONITORING CHECK: SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES OF THE PROJECT

This check is at the end of project and was performed periodically during implementation. The *ways in which the situation* set out under the previous section (Part E) *has been changed at the end of project,* described by specific objectives, as follows:

Objective 1. Project has offered new institutional capacity in internal quality assurance system within recipient HEI. This capacity did not exist. It was developed by local Algerian experts previously trained in European partner institutions, thus the ownership of results is ensured. The European experience and the guidance by European experts guarantee the modern system results implemented.

Objective 2. Activities were based on transfer of European experience for local staff during training sessions in European universities, also online due to pandemic. The update of skills in real case situations was achieved in implementing and testing the quality assurance system at home institutions.

Objective 3. The Algerian partner institutions were getting the guidance and piloting in preparing self-evaluation reports and external pilot evaluation by the sub-contracted European evaluation institution SKVC (Lituania), which is listed on the European Quality Assurance Register for Higher Education and is a full member of the European Association for Quality Assurance in Higher Education.

Objective 4. The exploitation of project results includes transferring of project recommendations to national policy makers in higher education contributing to the national policy and methodology





of quality assurance in HEI in Algeria. Project recommendations transferred to Ministry of Higher Education in Algeria.





3.MONITORING CHECK: MILESTONES AND INDICATORS

Milestone	Milestone	Related	Estimated	Indicators				Cheo	ck date	
number	name	Work Package(s)	date		QA actions	Monitoring	01.09.19	06.11.20	01.11.21	10.11.22
MS1	Project start	WP1, WP4, WP5	01-03-2019	 Successful project start, all beneficiaries engaged and staff recruited, kick-off meeting held and legal requirements finalised. Communication structure and infrastructure is set (1) 	Internal Project and GA	review by manager	yes	yes	yes	yes
MS2	The IQAS prepared	WP2	01-11-2020	- Experts trained. IQAS developed at each recipient HEI, including all documents and procedures (3)	partner with		n.a.	partly	yes	yes
MS3	The IQAS implemented by testing	WP3	01-05-2022	- IQAS implemented and tested (test report) at each HEI by local and European partners (3)	Test reviewed approve by GA		n.a.	n.a.	partly	yes





MS4	The pilot external evaluation concluded	W3	01-05-2022	 Self-assessment reports prepared (3). The evaluation report prepared (1). Lessons and recommendations discussed with recipients 	Internal self- evaluation reports monitored by institutional coordinators External pilot institutional evaluation (ENSA) by subcontracted agency experts Internal review and discussing external experts' recommendations	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	yes
MS5	Outcomes validated	WP4-WP5	15-11-2022	 Recommendations delivered to the stakeholders and agreed upon (1) The integrated set of recommendations for efficient sustainability delivered(1) 	External expert(1) review and advice on quality of recommendations	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	Yes





4.QUALITY MONITORING CHECK: BENCHMARKS AND OUTCOMES

Benchmarks/Outcom	Indicators	Source of	QA Monitoring		Che	eck date	
es	Indicacoro	information	actions	01.09.19	06.11.20	01.11.21	10.11.22
Outcome1.1.:ProblematicintargetcountryregardingqualityassuranceidentifiedOutcome1.2.DevelopmentofIQASstructuredOutcome1.3.	1.1. Reportsprepared1.2. Plan documentcompleted1.3. Installationdocuments (lot)	1.1. Reports 1.2. Plan document 1.3. Installation documents	Internal monitoring and reviews	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
equipped for further activities				n.a.	Not all	Yes	Yes
Outcome 2.1. Capacity for IQAS elaboration developed (at each Algerian HEI)	2.1. Individual training reports 2.2. Set of documents prepared	2.1. Individual training reports 2.2. Set of documents 2.3. Individual	Training & Events Evaluation questionnaires (ex- post) Internal	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Outcome 2.2. IQAS available for implementation in each Algerian partner institution	2.3. Individualtraining reports2.4. Test reportprepared	training reports 2.4. Test report	monitoring and reviews External expert review of IQAS documents at	N.a.	N.a.	Yes	Yes
Outcome 2.3. CapacityforIQASimplementationdeveloped(atAlgerian HEI)			partner institutions with recommendations	N.a. N.a.	N.a. N.a.	Partly N.a.	Yes





Outcome 2.4. IQAS operational at each recipient HEI							
	3.1. Individual training reports prepared 3.2. Self-	3.1. Individualtraining reports3.2. Self-assessmentreport document	Training & Events Evaluation questionnaires (ex- post)	N.a.	N.a.	N.a.	Yes
<i>Outcome 3.1.</i> Capacity for self-assessment preparation at each	assessment report document available 3.3. Evaluation report completed	3.3. Evaluation report	Internal self- evaluation reports monitored by institutional	N.a.	N.a.	N.a.	Yes
Algerian HEI Outcome 3.2. Algerian			coordinators	N.a.	N.a.	N.a.	Yes
HEIpreparedforexternal evaluation Outcome3.3. RecipientHEIevaluated,lessonsdiscussedandamong partners			External pilot institutional evaluation (ENSA) by subcontracted agency experts				
			Internal review and discussing external experts' recommendation s				
<i>Outcome 4.1.</i> Key stakeholders have information about project activities.	4.1. Plan document prepared 4.2. D&E tools implemented 4.3 D&E	4.1. Plan document(number)4.2. D&E toolsreported4.3D&E report	Internal review and approval by members GA: - Dissemination Plan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Outcome 4.2. Key stakeholders informed of activities, results	implemented	F	-Web site; -Dissemination material;	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes





available for media and wider audience. Outcome 4.3.: Results available for implementation by national policies			-Dissemination events & reports; -Final conference programme & report;	N.a.	N.a.	N.a.	Yes, under finalisation
Outcome5.1.Institutionalandfinancialprojectsustainabilityassured,projectindicatorsachieved.0utcomeDutcome5.2.Feedback of assessment	5.1. Reportdocument available5.2. Report chapteravailable5.3. Meetingsminutes available	5.1. Reportdocument5.2. Report chapter5.3. Meetingsminutes	Internal review: -Partnership Agreement; -Progress report to EACEA; -Final report to EACEA. External Auditing	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes Yes
results to project activities and sustainability Outcome 5.3. Project results, outcomes and impact achieved.			of : -Final report to EACEA.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes





5.QUALITY MONITORING CHECKS: BUDGET AND COST EFFECTIVENESS

Check items		Che	eck date	
Project outputs and outcomes achieved in the most economical way and on time	01.09.19	06.11.20	01.11.21	10.11.22
Most of activities are coordinated with the recipient HEI with possibility of some saving of costs on travel and subsistence	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Local experts employed in most of tasks with guiding from Programme Countries partners.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Distributing tasks by using unit costs allows distributing activities and resources among partners in the most efficient way	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Partners use most of own resources except some subcontracting needed for document translation between English and French and external institutional evaluation by subcontracted European evaluation institution	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Budget allocation amongst partners:				
Partner countries are beneficiaries therefore the 2/3 of budget are allocated to beneficiary partners while roughly 1/3 - to partners of Programme countries.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Arrangement for financial management:				
Coordinating partner (VDU) is responsible for efficient financial management.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Separate project accounts open at Coordinating partner (VDU) and each of partners	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Financial administrative staff appointed at Coordinating partner (VDU) and each of partners.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
All financial transactions among partners agreed upon and follow the ERASMUS quide.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Real cost based expenditures (for equipment, sub-contracting) are being paid by invoicing upon costs incurred.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Financial reporting and accounting is the responsibility of Coordinating partner (VDU) and each partner for the allocated expenditures.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes





Partners have planned co-financing of overheads of 25% from direct allocated costs. These covered from partners own institutional resources.	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes





6.REPORT ON MANAGEMENT OF QUALITY ASSURANCE AND MONITORING

Internal Reviews:

6.1. The Internal Review included review with respect to two aspects: technical and quality. The WP leaders and the Project Manager were responsible to conduct internal technical reviews to the deliverables before reporting, while the quality review was conducted by the Project Manager.

6.2. The Project Manager was responsible to assign additional reviewers in each deliverable from experts of European partners

6.3. Technical aspects of the project documentation were reviewed in order to ensure that all technical information is consistent to:

- Current state-of-the-art and recent technological and methodological level
- Project objectives, previous project results and specifications
- 6.4. From a quality point of view, the project documentation was reviewed against the following criteria:
 - Format of the document according to the document template.
 - Consistency with previous relevant documentation.
 - Identification and correction of typing/ syntax mistakes.

6.5.In case of IQAS, the respective review was conducted during the IQAS testing procedures. The Project Manager iwas responsible for the verification and validation of the testing results signing a testing report.

6.6. The internal review also included:

- meeting reports
- feedback forms for internal evaluation (level of satisfaction, challenges faced, recommendations for improvement)
- travel reports (to comply with official EACEA form)
- financial reporting forms (timesheets + staff declarations to comply with official EACEA forms)





External Quality assurance mechanisms (participatory process) will include:

Testing of outputs (ex-ante). Target groups were involved directly in the testing of the outputs prior to implementation and dissemination. In particular, Internal Quality unit staff at each partner institutions(ENSA, ENSB, ENSH) tested the outputs of the IQAS.

Training & Events Evaluation questionnaires (ex-post) were distributed to target groups at the end of each training week/ event, to assess their satisfaction and gather feedback and possible suggestions for improvement.

In addition to the above, Ex-post QA will aim at measuring the impact of project outputs on target beneficiaries. In November 2022 (just after the ,second mobility training week) a questionnaire was sent out to staff having participated in the training weeks to assess to what extent the information provided/knowledge developed during the events was put into practice.

During the final conference at the end of the project, a similar questionnaire distributed to participating staff.

An international external evaluator Stephane Lauwick from the external panel of experts selected on competitive call to conduct pilot evaluation of one selected project beneficiary institution was selected to evaluate the project major outcomes. He was participating in the online preparatory meetings and provided an expert view/evaluation report. The report assess the overall outcomes and first impact of the project results.

An independent auditor UAB "Veiklos auditas" was selected, to evaluate the final financial report before submitting to the EACEA.





7. QUALITY CONTROL AND ASSURANCE PROBLEM REPORTING

The following logs was used to itemize, document and track to closure items reported through quality management activities.

7.1.Quality Control Log

Exception ID Number	Review Dat	Output/Deliverable Reviewed	Findings	Resolution	Resolution Date
1.2.1	15.07.2019	1.2.Draft plan	Draft plan for IQAS preparation not revised by partner institutions	Discussed , revised, made adjustments	01.09.2019
2.2.1	15.02.2020	2.2.Development of IQAS in each Algerian partner institution	Quality manual at each partner not finalised	Discussed , coached, finalised	01.05.2020
2.2.2.	15.12.2020	2.2.Development of IQAS in each Algerian partner institution	Procedures for the "Cooperation" Not finalised	Discussed , coached, finalised	06.05.2021
5.2.1	09.07.2021	5.1. Interrim report	Interrim report does not reflect precisely project quality assurance	To collect inputs from partners	01.08.2021
2.3.1	15.02.2022	2.4. ENSA IQAS Testing report	ENSA IQAS Testing report not complete	Reported, corrected	15.03.2022
2.3.2	15.02.2022	2.4.ENSB IQAS Testing report	ENSB IQAS Testing report not complete	Reported, corrected	15.03.2022
2.3.3	15.02.2022	2.4.ENSH IQAS Testing report	ENSH IQAS Testing report not complete	Reported, corrected	28.03.2022
3.2.1	10.06.2022	3.2 SER ENSA	SER not revised	SER revised by SKVC experts	20.06.2022
3.2.2	01.07.2022	3.2 SER ENSB	SER not revised	SER revised by SKVC experts	01.08.2022
3.2.3	01.07.2022	3.2 SER ENSH	SER not revised	SER revised by SKVC experts	01.08.2022
3.3.1	22.10.2022	3.3 Evaluation report	Final evaluation report to be checked against factual mistakes	Checked and reported	03.11.2022





7.2.Quality Assurance Log

Exception ID Number	Review Dat	Output/Deliverable Reviewed	Findings	Resolution	Resolution Date
1.1.	June 2019	1.1.Analysis reports/reviewed by 2 experts from VMU and EMU	Some minor comments on format submitted to local experts	Updated by local experts (ENSA, ENSB, ENSH) for approval by SC.	Approved by GA SC meeting on 23.09.2019
2.2.1	October 2020	2.2.IQAS documentation(Quality manual for ENSA, ENSB, ENSH)/ Reviewed by 2 VMU experts	Comments on content submitted to local expert teams	Updated by local experts (ENSA, ENSB, ENSH) for approval by SC.	Approved by the GA SC meeting on 28.12.2020
2.2.2.	January-May 2021	2.2.IQAS documentation(Description of Procedures joint documents for ENSA, ENSB, ENSH)/ Reviewed by 3 VMU experts	Comments on content submitted to local expert teams	Updated by local experts (ENSA, ENSB, ENSH) for approval by SC	Approved by GA SC meeting on 16.06.2021
2.4.1.	March 2022	2.4. IQAS testing reports/ reviewed by 2 VMU experts	Comments on content submitted to local expert teams	Updated by local experts (ENSA, ENSB, ENSH).	Approved by GA SC meeting on 28.03.2022 validated by PM
3.2.1	May 2022	3.2. ENSA SER prepared by local experts / revised by SKVC experts	Comments on content submitted to local expert teams	Updated by local experts (ENSA) /approved by SKVC as suitable for Pilot External evaluation.	Approved by SKVC, August 2022
3.2.1	June 2022	3.2. ENSB and ENSH SER prepared by local experts /revised by SKVC experts	Comments on content submitted to local expert teams	Updated by local experts (ENSB, ENSH). Agreed by SKVC as could be good for external evaluation (if it would take place)	Agreed by SKVC as could be good for external evaluation (if it would take place), August 2022
3.3.1.	October 2022	3.3. External Evaluation Report/ revised by ENSA for factual inaccuracies	Comments on inaccuracies submitted to SKVC for External expert panel	SKVC External panel updating based on comments	Approved by SKVC, 05.11.2022
4.3.1	November- December 2022	4.3.Recommendation for the ministry/ reviewed by external expert	Comments on content submitted to project management team	Updated and discussed among all partners	Approved by last SG meeting on 23.12.2022





5.1.1	November- December 2022	Final financial report/ checked by external independent auditor	Certificate of factual findings submitted to project management team	Discussed among all partners	Approved by last SG meeting on 23.12.2022
5.1.2	November- December 2022	Final technical report/ revised by 2 experts from VMU	Comments on content submitted to project management team	Updated and discussed among all partners	Approved by last SG meeting on 23.12.2022

